- JUDGE STEINBERG: And the rest your are willing to
- 2 stipulate are admissible?
- MS. SADOWSKY: We are willing to state that the
- 4 other documents are admissible.
- 5 JUDGE STEINBERG: And are genuine and accurate and
- 6 all that other stuff.
- 7 And pages 14 through 19, you are not arguing that
- 8 it's not genuine you are just arguing -- you are arguing
- 9 for some other reason it's objectionable?
- MS. SA DOWSKY: That's correct.
- JUDGE GTEINBERG: Okay, so let's state your
- objection to pages 14 through 19.
- MR. GAFFNEY: Well, Your Honor, Exhibit No. 1,
- that's the attac ment, contains the, basically the
- indictment information. This is the second amended
- information beginning on page 7; recites the counts, the
- state statutes that are alleged to have been violated.
- Then in the Bureau's page -- Attachment No. 3
- beginning on page 21, the document entitled "Allocution
- 20 Sentence and Commitment," and that sets forth the sentences
- as they were found by the court and the indictments which
- are identified which gave rise to the conviction.
- The middle document here, which is entitled
- "Memorandum," is a stipulation entered in connection with
- this plea agreement, which states merely what testimony

- would have been and it been entered in this case. It never
- 2 happened. These people never testified.
- 3 Mr. Rije, in particular, has denied, and
- 4 maintained on the record a denial of this information. And
- 5 there has been no opportunity to cross-examine any of these
- 6 points in the proceeding in the criminal court.
- 7 But more importantly, the information -- well,
- 8 given all that, It has very low probative value in these
- 9 proceedings. Ye: it has a strong propensity to prejudice
- the Licensees because of its content. But on the other
- side, no probative value. It is completely superfluous to
- 12 Issue No. 1 in this case, which is based on the convictions
- of certain felonies. The felonies have been identified in
- Exhibit No. 1. The conviction is established in Exhibit No.
- 15 3. Exhibit No. 2 has no additional probative value, and
- it's simply irrelevant to this cause of action.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, you meant Attachment 2?
- MR. GAFFNEY: Attachment 2. Excuse me.
- 19 JUDGE STEINBERG: Mr. Zauner?
- MR. ZAUNER: Your Honor, one of the things that
- 21 the Commission has indicated it will look at is the nature
- of the felony that has been committed, and make a
- determination as to whether that felony is one which is of
- 24 such an egregious nature that it would shock the conscious.
- 25 And this exhibit --

et .	TITOOD	TEINBERG:	7
ì	. [ [ ] [ ] ( → H)	STEEL MERRIA	- Attachment.

they would testify to.

9

20

21

22

23

24

- MR. ZAINER: Or Attachment 2 describes the nature of the felony and provides the detail that would otherwise be missing. I don't understand at all Mr. Gaffney's argument that Michael Rice has not admitted to this. His signature appears on page 19, and, yes, it's true, this is what they will testify to. And the fact is that Mr. Rice has agreed that if these individuals were called this what
- I don't understand how -- the basis for Mr.

  Gaffney's claim that this is somehow speculation as to what
  they would testify to insofar as Mr. Rice is concerned.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, I am ready to rule.
- MR. ZAUNER: If I could direct your attention to one sentence, and that is on page 14 which states, "The Defendant specifically does not admit that any of the testimony is true or that any of the actions took place."

  That's where I inderstand the lack of admission in this case.
  - And with regard to the speculative nature of this, the last sentence of that page says, the statement, "The Defendant submits this cause to the court with the following being a stipulation as to what the testimony would be," not to what it was lecause it in fact never occurred.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, I am going to overrule the

- objection for the reasons stated by Mr. Zauner. I think the
- 2 record in this proceeding should reflect what's in this
- exhibit for a complete understanding of the conviction.
- 4 Okay, 30 that objection is overruled.
- Now let's turn to page 32 and 33 which is
- 6 Attachment 8 to Bureau No. 1.
- 7 MR. ZAUNER: Your Honor, I could short circuit
- 8 this. I believe that there is merit to the objection raised
- 9 in the Licensees' response to the Bureau's request for
- admissions of decuments. This is a letter from a third
- party to another third party, and we will not rely on it,
- 12 and for those -
- 13
  JUDGE STEINBERG: So you are withdrawing it?
- MR. ZAUNER: We are withdrawing it.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay So pages 32 and 33 are
- 16 withdrawn.
- Do we need pages 1 through 3? Excuse me, 1
- 18 thorough 5?
- I don t have any problems with leaving 1 through 5
- in there for official notice purposes.
- MR. ZAUNER: Well, each of these, except as we
- have discussed them, have been admitted to --
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Right.
- MR. ZAUNER: -- as factual, and I think it would
- 25 help all of us in preparing for both findings and ultimately

1	Your Honor perhaps in preparing his initial decision.
2	MS. SADOWSKY: Your Honor, we maintain our
3	objection 9 and 2 based on the content of our response.
4	JUDGE STEINBERG: Oh, you mean
5	MS. SADOWSKY: Items 9 and 12, or page 3.
6	JUDGE TEINBERG: Okay Well, no, fine. Your
7	objection is t's in the record as Exhibit No. 2.
8	My fee ing about that is I give weight to what the
9	documents say, not to the Bureau's characterization of the
10	documents, and I think we would all agree to that, right,
11	Mr. Zauner?
12	MR. ZAUNER: Yes, the Bureau is in agreement with
13	that.
14	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. So I will receive Mass
15	Media Bureau Exh bit No. 1, as modified; that is, with page
16	32 and 33 withdrawn. So Exhibit No. 1 is received.
17	(The document referred to,
18	having been previously marked
19	for identification as Mass
20	Media Bureau Exhibit No. 1,
21	was received in evidence.)
22	JUDGE STEINBERG: And are you offering Exhibit 2?
23	MR. ZAUNER: Yes, Your Honor, at this time the
24	Bureau would offer in evidence Mass Media Bureau Exhibit 2.
25	JUDGE GTEINBERG: Okay, any objection?

1	MS. SADOWSKY: No, Your Honor
2	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, Bureau Exhibit 2 is
3	received.
4	(The document referred to,
5	having been previously marked
6	for identification as Mass
7	Media Bureau Exhibit No. 2,
8	was received in evidence.)
9	MR. ZAUNER: At this time, Your Honor, the Bureau
10	would ask to have marked for identification Exhibit No. 3.
11	This is a nine-page exhibit, and it consists of information
12	relating to signature authority on accounts of Contemporary
13	Broadcasting, In :
14	JUDGE GTEINBERG: Okay, the document identified
15	will be marked a: Bureau Exhibit 3.
16	(The document referred to was
17	marked for identification as
18	Mass Media Bureau Exhibit No.
19	3.)
20	JUDGE 3TEINBERG: I have a question. There are a
21	number of places in this document where there purports to be
22	a signature of Michael Rice, page 3, page 4, and page 9.
23	Could we get a stipulation that that is in fact
24	his signature?
25	MS. SA DOWSKY: We will so stipulate.

1	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Do you want to move
2	did you move its admission?
3	MR. ZAUNER: No, I have not, Your Honor.
4	The Bureau moves into evidence its Exhibit No. 3.
5	JUDGE TEINBERG: Any objection.
6	MS. SADOWSKY: Your Honor, we object. We don't
7	believe these documents on their face are relevant to the
8	issue of misrepresentation. We don't think these documents
9	reflect any invo vement per se of Mr. Rice in the management
10	and operations of the stations. They go to his authority,
11	but they don't go to his involvement.
12	MR. ZAUNER: Your Honor, we believe these
13	documents do have some relevance in that they show that
14	Michael Rice had the ability to sign checks that would draw
15	from funds of the corporation in question.
16	And we believe that this ability to do so is at
17	least one indicia of control. We would agree it may not
18	make the case standing alone, but it is an indicia of
19	control and management of the station. There is a
20	possibility he could withhold signing a check, or could
21	commit the company to spend money by issuing checks.
22	JUDGE GTEINBERG: Okay, the objection is overruled
23	and Bureau Exhibit 3 is received.
24	
25	//

1	(The document referred to,
2	having been previously marked
3	for identification as Mass
4	Media Bureau Exhibit No. 3,
5	was received in evidence.)
6	JUDGE STEINBERG: Let me well, let's finish
7	with the checks and then I will ask you a question.
8	MR. ZAINER: I'm sorry?
9	JUDGE GTEINBERG: Let's finish with the signature
10	cards and then I will ask a question.
11	MR. ZAINER: Okay. At this time, Your Honor, we
12	request that you mark for identification as Mass Media
13	Bureau Exhibit $N \rightarrow -4$ a three-page document, and this
14	consists of resolutions a resolution of the board of
15	directors of Con emporary Broadcasting, Inc., and give me
16	one second, Your Honor.
17	(Pause )
18	MR. ZA JNER: I'm sorry, let me begin.
19	It's a three-page document, Your Honor, and this
20	document also ha; to do with the authorization of Michael
21	Rice to sign checks. This time for Contemporary Media, Inc.
22	JUDGE 3TEINBERG: Okay, the document identified
23	will be marked for identification as Bureau Exhibit No. 4.
24	//
25	

1	(The document referred to was
2	marked for identification as
3	Mass Media Bureau Exhibit No.
4	4.)
5	JUDGE STEINBERG: And let me ask Ms. Sadowsky.
6	What purports to be a signature of Michael Rice on page 3,
7	will you stipula e that that's his signature?
8	MS. SADOWSKY: On page 3?
9	JUDGE GTEINBERG: Yes.
10	MS. SADOWSKY: Yes, Your Honor, we would stipulate
11	that is his signature.
12	JUDGE :TEINBERG: Thank you.
13	I don' see any date on page 3. Can anybody put
14	this in a time frame? Does anybody know?
15	And the time frame could be before or after April
16	3, '91. I think you know, we don't have to know that it
17	was December 9, 943.
18	MR. ZA NER: Your Honor, we believe that page 3
19	relates to the two preceding pages, and according to the two
20	preceding pages here was a meeting that the board held on
21	9-28-1994, which gave signing authority to Michael Rice,
22	Vice President, and Janet Cox, and I guess M. R. Rice must
23	be Malcolm Rice, Mike Rice's father. And we believe that,
24	and I can't, you know, absolutely state that this third page
25	relates to that authority granted in the preceding two

- 1 pages.
- We also notice that the Bates stamp numbers at the
- 3 lower right-hand corner are consecutive.
- 4 JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, can Ms. Sadowsky help?
- MS. SADOWSKY: Your Honor, I cannot. I was
- 6 checking on our enswers to interrogatories because they did
- 7 ask us certain questions about some of these check, or these
- 8 signature cards, that wasn't one of them.
- 9 We wil have --
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Can you find out? Okay, just
- write a little note to yourself to see if you can place
- Bureau Exhibit 4 page 3, in terms of a time frame before or
- after April 3, '+1?
- MS. SA DOWSKY: We expect one of our witnesses can
- 15 do that.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes, okay. Just write it down
- and that way we can revisit it later.
- Okay, are you going to offer No. 3?
- 19 MR. ZA NER: Your Honor, at this time the Bureau
- 20 would --
- JUDGE STEINBERG: I mean No. 4.
- 22 MR. ZA JNER: -- Bureau Exhibit No. 4 into
- evidence, Exhibit No. 4.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Any objection?
- MS. SA DOWSKY: Your Honor, we would have the same

- objection to Exhibit No. 4 as we stated for Exhibit No. 3.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, the objection is overruled
- for the same reasons, and Bureau Exhibit 4 is received.
- 4 (The document referred to,
- 5 having been previously marked
- for identification as Mass
- 7 Media Bureau Exhibit No. 4,
- 8 was received in evidence.)
- 9 MR. ZAUNER: Your Honor, at this time I would like
- to have marked for identification as Mass Media Bureau
- 11 Exhibit No. 5, a two-page document.
- The first page is a letter on the letterhead of
- 13 Contemporary Med a Broadcasting Group. It's dated December
- 14 2, 1992, and addressed to Gina Johnston of the First Bank
- 15 Center, in Osage Beach, Missouri, and with the letter bears
- a signature bloc: for Michael Rice, and Dennis Klautzer, and
- 17 Janet P. Cox.
- The second page consists of an authorization, a
- signature authorization, and that pages bears typed space
- for Michael S. Rice as president, but no signature. The
- 21 document is date! April 8, 1991.
- JUDGE GTEINBERG: Well, the second page.
- MR. ZA JNER: The second page, yes.
- JUDGE 3TEINBERG: The first page has got another
- 25 date.

1	MR. ZAUNER: I'm sorry.
2	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, the document described
3	will be marked for identification as Bureau Exhibit No. 5.
4	(The document referred to was
5	marked for identification as
6	Mass Media Bureau Exhibit No.
7	5.)
8	MR. ZAUNER: Your Honor, I offer into evidence
9	Bureau Exhibit No. 5.
10	MS. SADOWSKY: Your Honor, we would have the same
11	objection to Exh.bit 5 as we stated for Exhibit 3. In
12	addition, we note that page 1 is a letter that is unsigned
13	by any party, and page 2 is a document that is signed by
14	Dennis Klautzer lated April 8, 1991. The first page is
15	dated December 2 1992. I don't know that these two
16	documents are connected given the disparity in the dates.
17	In addition, the first page, again, is not signed
18	by any person, particularly Mr. Rice.
19	MR. ZA JNER: Your Honor, if I may, the middle
20	paragraph on page 1 indicates, "The following individuals
21	will remain as the authorized persons to sign the corporate
22	checks," indicating that corporate authorization resolution
23	had been issued previously, and we believe this to be the
24	copy of the corporate resolution authorizing the named
25	individuals to sign checks on behalf of Lake Broadcasting,

- l Inc.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, where did the documents
- 3 come from?
- 4 MR. ZAINER: The documents came from the Licensee.
- 5 And once again, hey bear consecutive Bates stamp numbers.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Can we find out more about these
- documents, as to whether or not they in fact were signed?
- 8 MS. SA OWSKY: Your Honor, I expect --
- JUDGE TEINBERG: I would assume if they were
- found in the records of the corporation.
- MS. SADOWSKY: It's my expectation that one of our
- witnesses will be prepared to testify concerning these
- 13 documents.
- JUDGE 'TEINBERG: Okay, let's right that down too,
- and remember Bureau Exhibit 5, and I withhold ruling on that
- until we can get somebody to say what these things are;
- namely, was page 1 in fact signed and sent, and page 2 being
- a corporate authorization resolution, which was signed by
- 19 the secretary of the corporation. I don't see any problem
- 20 with page 2. It s just -- but I withhold ruling on this
- 21 until later.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, let's go to No. 6.
- MR. ZA JNER: Your Honor, at this time the Bureau
- 24 would have markel for identification as Mass Media Bureau
- Exhibit No. 6, a three-page document. The first page

- indicates that i 's a letter addressed to The Honorable Jack
- 2 O. Edwards, Associate Circuit Court of the 25th Judicial
- 3 Circuit, in Vienna, Missouri. It's dated August 1, 1994.
- 4 The last page of the document appears what
- 5 purports to be the signature of Kenneth W. Kuenzie.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: K-U-E-N-Z-I-E.
- 7 MR. ZAUNER: Correct.
- 8 MR. ZAINER: Your Honor, the Bureau is offering
- 9 this statement only for the purpose of the statement on page
- 10 1, the bottom paragraph, that "Even though Mike is the major
- stockholder, all decisions and business dealings are done
- with all three stockholders. Consideration is welcomed."
- And we are offering that on the issue, the
- misrepresentation issue, and that's the limited purpose for
- which we are offering Mass Media Bureau Exhibit No. 6.
- Your Honor, I request --
- JUDGE GTEINBERG: Did you say you are offering it?
- 18 You are saying it's an admission, or what did you say?
- MR. ZA JNER: I'm sorry.
- JUDGE GTEINBERG: I'm sorry, I didn't follow some
- 21 of that.
- 22 MR. ZA JNER: Okay. I said the Bureau is offering
- 23 Exhibit No. 6 on Issue No. 2, the misrepresentation issue.
- 24 And we are offering it only for the purpose of the statement
- 25 that "Even though Mike is the major stockholder, all

- decisions and business dealings are done with all three
- stockholders. Considerations welcomed." And that statement
- is at the bottom of the first page, the last paragraph on
- 4 the page. So we are offering it only for that limited
- 5 purpose.
- Your Honor, with that modification, the Bureau
- 7 offers into evidence Exhibit No. 6.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, let me -- I didn't think I
- 9 identified it ye
- MR. ZAUNER: Oh, I'm sorry.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: So Exhibit No. -- the document
- described will be marked for identification as Mass Media
- 13 Bureau Exhibit 6
- 14 (The document referred to was
- marked for identification as
- Mass Media Bureau Exhibit No.
- 17 6.)
- JUDGE GTEINBERG: And it's being offered for that
- 19 limited purpose.
- Ms. Sa lowsky?
- MS. SA DOWSKY: Your Honor, we object to the
- 22 admission of this exhibit. It is not authenticated, and if
- 23 it were to be admitted, we believe it should be admitted for
- 24 all purposes. The document also contains information that
- is relevant to character issue in this case, and we believe

- if the document s admitted for the purpose that the Bureau
- 2 requests, it should be admitted for all purposes.
- But we believe that, because it is not
- authenticated, i should not be admitted at all.
- 5 JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, the objection is
- 6 sustained, and Exhibit No. 6 is rejected.
- 7 (The document referred to,
- 8 having been previously marked
- 9 for identification as Mass
- Media Bureau Exhibit No. 6,
- was rejected.)
- MR. ZA JNER: Your Honor, may I just have one
- 13 second, please?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Sure.
- MR. ZA JNER: One more moment, Your Honor.
- 16 (Pause )
- 17 MR. ZAJNER: Your Honor, if I must may just answer
- 18 the --
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, you have the ruling. We
- 20 don't have --
- MR. ZA JNER: We offer it as a party admission.
- This is written by a party -- by the vice president, and I
- believe, shareholder in Lake Broadcasting Company.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: He is 12 and a half percent
- shareholder in Take -- according to Licensees Exhibit 1,

1.	page 4.
2	MR. ZAUNER: Correct.
3	JUDGE STEINBERG: You didn't offer it as an
4	admission. You offered it
5	MR. ZAUNER: As an exhibit.
6	JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes It's rejected. There is
7	no sponsor. Who are they going to cross-examine? Who are
8	they going to as: questions about?
9	I mean the ruling will stand.
10	MR. ZAJNER: Your Honor, at this time I would
11	request that you have marked for identification Mass Media
12	Bureau Exhibit No. 7. Number 7 is a three-page document,
13	and again it's a letter addressed to The Honorable Jack O.
14	Edwards, Associate Circuit Court, 25th Judicial Circuit, in
15	Vienna, Missouri and it is signed by J. Eric Hoehn, or at
16	least bears what purports to be the signature of J. Eric
17	Hoehn.
18	JUDGE 3TEINBERG: That's H-O-E-H-N.
19	MR. ZA JNER: Yes, Your Honor.
20	JUDGE GTEINBERG: Okay, the document described
21	will be marked for identification as Bureau Exhibit No. 7.
22	(The document referred to was
23	marked for identification as

7.)

24

25

Mass Media Bureau Exhibit No.

- MR. ZAUNER: And, again, Your Honor, we are
- offering this on y with regard to the misrepresentation
- issue, and we are specifically offering it with regard to
- 4 the second paragraph on page 2.
- 5 JUDGE STEINBERG: Beginning "During the past eight
- 6 years of my full employment"?
- 7 MR. ZAUNER: That is correct.
- And, Your Honor, with those limitations, I would
- 9 offer into evidence -- oh I'm sorry you haven't found it --
- 10 have you marked t?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes, I think I did identify this
- 12 one.
- MR. ZA NER: Okay. Your Honor, at this time I
- would offer into evidence Mass Media Bureau Exhibit No. 7.
- JUDGE GTEINBERG: Ms. Sadowsky?
- MS. SA DOWSKY: Yes. Your Honor, our objection to
- Exhibit No. 7 is identical to our objection to Exhibit No.
- 18 6. It is not an authenticated document, and if it were to
- 19 be admitted, it should be admitted for all purposes.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, according to Licensees
- Exhibit 1, page 11, Mr. Hoehn is one of the station's chief
- 22 engineers; is that correct?
- MS. SADOWSKY: That is correct.
- JUDGE 3TEINBERG: Okay. I am going to sustain the
- objection and reject Exhibit No. 7. It's not authenticated.

- In addition, the paragraph that you are offering doesn't say
- what, if anything, was done during the time period under
- 3 consideration, namely, after April 3, 1991. This is during
- 4 the past eight years, and eight years go from '86 to '94.
- 5 And it doesn't specify -- there is nobody to cross-examine
- 6 about this exhib t.
- 7 So Exh bit 7 is rejected.
- 8 (The document referred to,
- 9 having been previously marked
- 10 for identification as Mass
- Media Bureau Exhibit No. 7,
- was rejected.)
- MR. ZA NER: Your Honor, at this time I would
- request that you mark for identification as Mass Media
- Bureau Exhibit No. 8, and a five-page document. The first
- page of which is a telecopy facsimile cover sheet dated
- April 9, 1993, to Dan Leatherman, L-E-A-T-H-E-R-M-A-N, from
- 18 Mike Rice.
- The second, third, fourth, and fifth pages all
- are, I'm sorry. Let me withdraw that.
- The second page of this document is a fax
- transmittal shee: from Dan Leatherman to Mike Rice. The
- third page is a telecopy facsimile cover sheet indicating a
- message from Mike Rice to Dan Leatherman, dated April 8,
- 25 1993. The fourth page is a fax transmittal to Mike Rice

- from Dan Leatherman, dated July 27, 1993. And the last page
- 2 is a fax transmi tal cover sheet to Mike Rice from Dan
- 3 Leatherman, dated 5-17-1993.
- 4 JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, the document described
- 5 will be marked for identification as Mass Media Bureau
- 6 Exhibit No. 8
- 7 (The document referred to was
- 8 marked for identification as
- 9 Mass Media Bureau Exhibit No.
- 10 8.)
- JUDGE STEINBERG: And the signature on page 1,
- would Ms. Sadowsky stipulate that that's Mr. Rice's
- 13 signature?
- MS. SADOWSKY: Yes, Your Honor.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Thank you.
- MR. ZA JNER: Your Honor, I offer into evidence --
- 17 have you marked t for identification, Your Honor?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes, I think I did.
- 19 MR. ZA JNER: Your Honor, at this time I offer into
- evidence Mass Melia Bureau Exhibit No. 8.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Ms. Sadowsky?
- MS. SA DOWSKY: Your Honor, Exhibit No. 8 is a
- collection of correspondence memorandum, if I could
- characterize it, but they lack a sponsoring witness. It's
- 25 my understanding that if this was to have been a case based

- on documents, al documents were to have had a sponsoring
- witness, and we would object on that ground.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, I will overrule the
- 4 objection, and receive the exhibit, and I will note Mr.
- 5 Leatherman is go ng to be one of your witnesses.
- 6 MS. SADOWSKY: Your Honor, I'm sorry.
- JUDGE TEINBERG: And I think if there are any
- 8 questions, I have questions, I would notice him for cross-
- 9 examination, if here are any questions about these
- documents, there will be a live, presumably he will be live,
- 11 he will come here and testify, and we can ask him questions.
- 12 So I will receive Bureau Exhibit No. 8.
- 13 (The document referred to,
- having been previously marked
- for identification as Mass
- Media Bureau Exhibit No. 8,
- 17 was received in evidence.)
- 18 MR. ZA INER: Your Honor, at this time I would
- 19 request that you mark for identification as Mass Media
- Bureau Exhibit No. 9 a two-page document.
- The first page is the first page of a letter dated
- November 13, 1995, and it begins with the word "Dear Jan."
- The second pages concludes with "Sincerely," with what
- 24 purports to be Mike Rice's signature.
- JUDGE 3TEINBERG: Okay, the document described

1	will be marked for identification as Bureau Exhibit No. 9.
2	(The document referred to was
3	marked for identification as
4	Mass Media Bureau Exhibit No.
5	9.)
6	MR. ZAUNER: Your Honor, the Bureau offers Exhibit
7	No. 9 into evidence.
8	JUDGE GTEINBERG: Any objection?
9	MS. SADOWSKY: Your Honor, this is an unsponsored
10	document. The same objection as to Exhibit No. 8.
11	JUDGE GTEINBERG: Okay, I presume that Jan is
12	Janet Cox, and Ms. Cox will be here to testify, and we can
13	ask her about this question. If it turns out that "Dear
14	Jan" is not Jane Cox, then we can revisit this.
15	So Exh.bit No. 9 is received.
16	(The document referred to,
17	having been previously marked
18	for identification as Mass
19	Media Bureau Exhibit No. 9,
20	was received in evidence.)
21	JUDGE 3TEINBERG: And let me just ask for the
22	record the signature on page 2, will you stipulate that that
23	Mike is Mike Rice?
24	MS. SA )OWSKY: Yes, Your Honor.
25	JUDGE 3TEINBERG: Okay, thank you.

- Okay, does that complete your exhibits?
- MR. ZAUNER: That completes our exhibits, Your
- 3 Honor.
- 4 JUDGE STEINBERG: Does that complete your direct
- 5 case?
- 6 MR. ZAINER: That completes our direct case.
- JUDGE GTEINBERG: Okay, obviously there is nobody
- 8 to cross-examine so we can dispense with notification.
- 9 But I vill give Ms. Sadowsky a lot of leeway in
- 10 examining Mr. Leitherman and Ms. Cox on these documents in
- here, if she wan s to. If she doesn't want to, she doesn't
- 12 have to.
- I had a question before we turn to the Licensees
- 14 direct case.
- With respect to the check signing authority, did
- 16 the Bureau examine actual checks written by the Licensee
- 17 after April 3, '→1?
- MR. ZA JNER: Yes, Your Honor.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Did you find any with Michael
- 20 Rice's signature on it?
- MR. ZAJNER: Yes, Your Honor.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Why didn't you offer that into
- 23 evidence?
- MR. ZAJNER: Because we thought the fact of the --
- well, we may use that on cross-examination. We will have

- Janet Cox here, whether she is aware of what these checks
- were and what we'e the purpose of the checks, and she can
- 3 tell us. Most o them look, Your Honor, like payroll
- 4 checks.
- 5 JUDGE 'TEINBERG: I mean, I was prepared to ask
- 6 you to stipulate that you got the signature cards but no
- 7 checks, which would say one thing. And I think the checks
- 8 ought to be in the record rather than the signature cards,
- 9 and if you want to -- if you want to work out a stipulation
- saying between April 3 -- I am just suggesting this, I am
- not saying do it -- between April 3, '91, and today, the
- 12 Licensees, all three of them, wrote 8,247,986 checks, and
- four were signed by Michael Rice dated XYZ for these
- purposes, that would be -- you know that would be
- 15 preferable.
- But if you put in checks for Michael Rice, I think
- they are entitled to say, well, this was, you know, a half a
- percent of all the checks that were written, and these were
- 19 why they were written.
- I think that should be in the record.
- Okay, now let's turn to the Licensees direct case.
- MS. SADOWSKY: Your Honor, can I have one moment,
- 23 please?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Sure. Do you want to go off the
- 25 record for a little bit?

- MS. SADOWSKY: Yes.
- JUDGE TEINBERG: Okay, let's take about a five-
- 3 minute break.
- 4 MS. SADOWSKY: Thank you.
- 5 (Where pon, a recess was taken.)
- 6 MR. GA?FNEY: Just one point, Your Honor.
- 7 You had made the suggestion after the closure of
- 8 the Bureau's direct case about supplementing it with further
- 9 evidence.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Right
- MR. GAFFNEY: The deadline for exchanging the
- exhibits is past The for admitting the direct case is
- past. If that's the evidence that the Bureau chose to put
- in their direct case. I am a little bit confused on behalf
- of the Licensees as to a supplementation of that record if
- timely discovery was made, and they made a choice about what
- their direct case would be, and the deadlines for notifying
- us of that case are now behind us. That should be it. And
- 19 they delivered those choices.
- JUDGE 3TEINBERG: Okay. I fully sympathize with
- what you are saying, but one of my obligations is the
- development of as full and complete a record as we have.
- 23 And in my discretion I believe that those checks should come
- in just to show -- basically, I received the signature cards
- over your objection because it is one of the indicias of